28

1

2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 JANE DOE F-1, Case No. 23-cv-03945-HSG 7 Plaintiff, 8 REFERRAL FOR PURPOSE OF v. **DETERMINING RELATIONSHIP** 9 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al., 10 Defendants. 11 12 JANE DOE LS 90, Case No. 23-cv-03956-HSG 13 Plaintiff, 14 v. 15 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al., Defendants. 16 17 RT, Case No. 23-cv-04301-HSG 18 Plaintiff, 19 v. 20 UBER TECHNOLOGIES INC., et al., 21 Defendants. 22 JANE DOE LS 231, Case No. <u>23-cv-04367-HSG</u> 23 Plaintiff, 24 v. 25 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,, et al., 26 Defendants. 27

Case 4:23-cv-03945-HSG Document 48 Filed 10/12/23 Page 2 of 2

E. E., Case No. <u>23-cv-04744-HSG</u> Plaintiff, v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al., Defendants. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-12(c) and Rule 7.2(a) of the Rules of Procedure of the U.S. Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the above-entitled cases are hereby **REFERRED** to Judge Charles R. Breyer for consideration of whether the cases are related to In re: Uber Technologies, Inc., Case No. 23-md-03084-CRB. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: 10/12/2023 United States District Judge